Sectt. 11-27—40,004—4-11-28.

L. S. G. (1929) Department.

Mis SERIES.

G.O. No. 779 P. H.

DATED 23— 3— 1929

[Despatch Abstract.]

CONTRIBUTION -

Puttur Taluk Board - percentage contribution - pay of Assistant Surgeon - Beltangadi hospital - enhanced rate - refused.

Advance Copy

C.F. 30—10,000—24-5-28.

DEPARTMENT.

D1 873

Received ..... 192 . *Registered* 4385 / 3.2.29 192 . Pp 1-3 Secret Pp 5-6 Secret

Subject.

GOVERNMENT CONTRIBUTION - Local Fund Assistant Surgeon - at 22½% - Claim rejected by the Accountant General Government approached for relief - Report sent.

******

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies.

Ref. C. No. 679-Sup'28.

Station Puttur; *Dated the* 30th JANUARY 192 9.

From (Name) M. R. Ry. Holahalli Shiva Rao, Avergal:.
PRESIDENT
(Designation) Taluk Board, Puttur, South Kanara Dt.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
PUBLIC Health Department.
Fort Saint George, Madras.

Through,
The PRESIDENT,
District Board, South Kanara.

Sir,

I have the honour to state that after the retirement of the Sub Assistant Surgeon who was in charge of Beltangady Dispensary & since converted into a Hospital an Assistant Surgeon of the L.M.& S., grade was appointed since 8th March 1927 on a pay of Rs 100-5(annual) 125/-. As laid down in Ex.Instruction No.10 of the Madras Local Boards ~~Act~~ Manual the Accountant General, Madras was requested to order the payment of Government contribution at the rate of Rs 22½% of the pay of the Assistant Surgeon. Though in his letter No.Acct.7-S.K-165 dated 21st September 1928, he authorised the Treasury Officer for the payment of the contribution at that rate, he reversed his order in his subsequent letter

G.Acct.7-L.264 dated 10th November 1938 and advised the --- Treasury Officer to pay the contribution at the rate of only ten per cent and the reason attributed by him for the modification of the order is that the Taluk Board is not entitled to 22½% -- contribution as the Assistant Surgeon is a Local Fund employee. The Taluk Board before whom the correspondence was placed have in their resolution No.374 dated 27-12-1938 (copy enclosed) --- decided to request Government to sanction the payment of the - contribution at 22½%. I again addressed the Accountant General and requested him to quote the authority, if any, in support of his contention that the Taluk Board is not entitled to the 22½% contribution. In reply he states in his letter G.Acct.7-L.264 799 that the contribution is payable only in cases where Civil Asst.Surgeons are in Local Fund employ and where pensionary -- contributions are paid from local funds.

2. Executive Instruction No.10 of the Madras Local Boards ~~Manual~~ Manual does not exclude from its purview, the payment of the 22½% contribution towards the pay of the Assistant Surgeon even if he be a Local Fund hand. The above Instruction even / goes to say that the contribution is payable irrespective of the fact whether the Assistant Surgeon was trained by Government or not. If that be so, it is not known why or how the article --- prevents the payment of the contribution in the case of a Local Fund employee? The object underlying the aforesaid Instruction which provides for payment of contribution is to pay for the -- medical services rendered for and at the instance of Government departments - the difference in scale - 22½% and 10% - varying proportionately to the qualifications of the Medical Officers concerned. The Assistant Surgeon renders beyond doubt, such - services, and I do not ~~xxx~~ quite see why the Board should be -- deprived of the Government contribution assigned to his grade. If a Sub Asst.Surgeon was appointed for the Hospital, the Govern ment would have objected to the action as a Medical Officer of such grade cannot be in-charge of a hospital. Now that the --- requirements have been fulfilled at a higher cost, higher scale contribution payable by Government ought not to be refused to

the Board.

3. In the circumstances narrated above, I have the honour to request that the Government may be pleased to order the ---- payment of contribution at the rate of 22½% towards the pay of the Assistant Surgeon in charge of Beltangady Hospital.

Your most obedient Servant.

H. Shiva Rao 31/1
President,
Puttur Taluk Board.

K.S/31-1.

31/1

Extract from the Proceedings of the Meeting of the Puttur Taluk Board, dated the 27th December 1928.

Present:- H. Shiva Rao, -- -- -- President.
V. Raja Lakshminatha Swamiji. .. Vice President.
T. Thimmayya Bonde.
T. Ramanna Gowda.
D. Ramanna Pujary.
Narain Bhat, Shido.
K. Ramanna Rai.
K. S. Subrao.
P. Sannayya Somanachayya.
T. Kushalappa Gowda.
A. Thimmappa Gowda.

Read the following papers:-

* * *

No.374/1928-29.

Correspondence relating to the demand of contribution from Government at 22½% of the pay of the Assistant Surgeon in charge of Beltangady Hospital ending with letter No.7-B.K-264 dated 19-11-1928 of the Accountant General, Madras informing that the Taluk Board is not entitled to the 22½% contribution.

Resolution:-

Government will be addressed to sanction the payment of 22½%.

* * *

H. Shiva Rao 31/1
President,
Puttur Taluk Board.

K.S/31-1.

31/1

C.F. 30—10,000—24-5-28.

DEPARTMENT.

Received 192 . . *Registered* 1929 192 .

Subject.

GOVERNMENT CONTRIBUTION - Local Fund Assistant Surgeon - at 22½% - Claim rejected by the Accountant General Government approached for relief - Report sent.

****

SOUTH KANARA DISTRICT BOARD'S C.No. 537/29 1 FEB 1929 SUPERINTENDENT

Enclosure(s)

No. Ref. C. No. 679-Sup'28.

Spare copies *Station* P u t t u r; , *Dated the* 30th JANUARY 192 9.

From (Name) M. R. Ry. Molahalli Shiva Rao, Avergal:,
PRESIDENT:
(Designation) Taluk Board, Puttur, South Kanara Dt.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

PUBLIC Health Department.
Fort Saint George, Madras.

Through,

The PRESIDENT,
District Board, South Kanara.

Sir,

I have the honour to state that after the retirement of the Sub Assistant Surgeon who was in charge of Beltangady Dispensary (since converted into a Hospital) an Assistant Surgeon of the L.M.& S., grade was appointed since 8th March 1927 on a pay of Rs 100-5(annual) 125/-. As laid down in Ex.Instruction No.10 of the Madras Local Boards ~~Act~~ Manual the Accountant General, Madras was requested to order the payment of Government contribution at the rate of Rs 22½% of the pay of the Assistant Surgeon. Though in his letter No.Acct.7-S.K-165 dated 21st September 1928, he authorised the Treasury Officer for the payment of the contribution at that rate, he reversed his order in his subsequent lette.

Acct.7-S.K.264 dated 10th November 1928 and advised the --- Treasury Officer to pay the contribution at the rate of only ten per cent and the reason attributed by him for the modification of the order is that the Taluk Board is not entitled to 22½% -- contribution as the Assistant Surgeon is a Local Fund employee. The Taluk Board before whom the correspondence was placed have in their resolution No.374 dated 27-12-1928 (copy enclosed) --- decided to request Government to sanction the payment of the - contribution at 22½%. I again addressed the Accountant General and requested him to quote the authority, if any, in support of his contention that the Taluk Board is not entitled to the 22½% contribution. In reply he states in his letter No.Acct.7-S.K. 799 that the contribution is payable only in cases where Civil Asst.Surgeons are in Local Fund employ and where pensionary -- contributions are paid from local funds.

2. Executive Instruction No.10 of the Madras Local Boards Manual does not exclude from its purview, the payment of the 22½% contribution towards the pay of the Assistant Surgeon even if he be a Local Fund hand. The above Instruction even goes to say that the contribution is payable irrespective of the fact whether the Assistant Surgeon was trained by Government or not. If that be so, it is not known why or how the article --- prevents the payment of the contribution in the case of a Local Fund employee? The object underlying the aforesaid Instruction which provides for payment of contribution is to pay for the -- medical services rendered for and at the instance of Government departments - the difference in scale - 22½% and 10% - varying proportionately to the qualifications of the Medical Officers concerned. The Assistant Surgeon renders beyond doubt, such -- services, and I do not quite see why the Board should be -- deprived of the Government contribution assigned to his grade. If a Sub Asst.Surgeon was appointed for the Hospital, the Government would have objected to the action as a Medical Officer of such grade cannot be in-charge of a Hospital. Now that the --- requirements have been fulfilled at a higher cost, higher scale contribution payable by Government ought not to be refused to

3. In the circumstances narrated above, I have the honour to request that the Government may be pleased to order the ---- payment of contribution at the rate of 22½% towards the pay of the Assistant Surgeon in charge of Beltangady Hospital.

Your most obedient Servant.

31/1

President,
Puttur Taluk Board.

K.S/31-1.

31/1

Extract from the Proceedings of the Meeting of the Puttur Taluk Board, dated the 27th December 1928.

Present:- M. Shiva Rao, -- -- -- President.
V. Raja Laxminath Swamji. .. Vice President.
M. Thimmayya Konde.
M. Ramanna Gowda.
D. Ramanna Pujary.
Narain Bhat, Bhide.
K. Ramanna Rai.
K. P. Subrao.
M. Sannayya Padmanabhayya.
K. Kushalappa Gowda.
M. Thimmappa Gowda.

Read the following papers:-

* * *

No.374/1928-29.

Correspondence relating to the demand of contribution from Government at 22½% of the pay of the Assistant Surgeon in charge of Beltangady Hospital ending with letter No.7-S.K-264 dated 19-11-1928 of the Accountant General, Madras informing that the Taluk Board is not entitled to the 22½% contribution.

Resolution:-

Government will be addressed to sanction the payment of 22½%.

* * *

31/1

President,
Puttur Taluk Board.

K.S/31-1.

31/1

R. Dis

Ref on C.No.537/D.B/29.

Endorsement by M.R.Ry.Rao Bahadur B.Mahabala Hegde Avergal, B.A.B.L., President,District Board,South Kanara.

Submitted to Government for favourable consideration.

Mangalore,2 -2- 1929.

President,District Board.

169
3/2

55/3/29

DESPATCHED
5 FEB. 1929

6/13/2

8

L. 8. S. (016)

C.m. 4909 from the P. C. B. Puttur
m 679/28 dy 30.1.29
.. .. P. D. B. South Kanara
m 537/29 dy 5.2.29

Memo 4909 - 1 Diii 016
12.2.29

Pre-employment Contribution - part of
Asst Surgeon Retainership Hospital

Reference to the Surgeon General
for remarks in continuation of
Govt Memorandum no 52768-1 Dviii/28
dy 17.1.29. He is requested to
state whether he considers that an
Asst. Surgeon should be in charge of the hospital in
question the Deputy of the VD and whether
his reply ~~to~~ with the Accountant
General.

Adm
12.2.29

12.2.29

To the S.G. (a form)
.. A.G. (a form)

(2)

Dpd. by Van / ~~Post~~ / Messenger

Dpr's. initials.

Date 14/2/1929.

C.F. 30—10 000—7-8-26.

Local Self Government (P.H.) DEPARTMENT.

9

Received ..... 192 . *Registered* ..... 192 .

Subject.

Beltangadi Hospital - pay of Assistant Surgeon - percentage contribution -

ENCLOSURES.
No. .....
.....
Spare copies .....

R. No 364-Establishment/29.

Station Madras., *Dated the* 8th March 192 9

From (Name) Colonel J.W.D. Megaw, C.I.E., V.H.S., I.M.S.,

(Designation) Offg: Surgeon-General with the Govt. of Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Local Self Government (P.H.) Department.

(Through the Accountant-General, Madras).

Sir,

Reference:-Government memorandum No. 4909-1 D3. P.H., dated 12th February 1929 regarding the necessity for an Assistant Surgeon to be in charge of the Beltangadi Hospital.

I have the honour to state that there is no necessity for an Assistant Surgeon to be in charge of the small hospital at Beltangadi and that a competent Sub Assistant Surgeon will do.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

12/3

Personal Assistant,
for Surgeon-General with the Govt. of Madras.

C.D. 11-3-29.

No. Acctt.7-S.K.4408 OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL, MADRAS,

Fort St. George, 19th March 192 9

Enclosures nil

SECRETARIAT TO GOVERNMENT
21 MAR 1929
LOCAL SELF-GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENT

Forwarded.

11512

Asstt. Accountant-General.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Local Self Government (P.H.) Department.

R.S. 19/3

Scott. II-5 (a)—48,004—6-11-28. (6)

	Date.	Initials.
When received for Fair-copying.	24/3/29	
When copied ..		
When examined ..	24/3	
When signed ..		
When despatched ..		

11 L. & S. (W.K.) DEPARTMENT.

READ AGAIN G.O. No. ....., dated 192

" " " " ..... " 192

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 4385 From the D.T.B. Patna No. 679 dated 20.1. 1929.

4909 D.D.B.S. Kanara 537/29 5.2.29

" " 11512 " " S.Q. 364 Estt. 8.3. 1929.

S.Q. 7.8K.4480 19.3.29

" " ..... " " ..... " ..... " 192

ORDER. No. 779, dated 23.3. 1929.

Ans

29/3 DQ

Dr the Circum.

Stances reported by the S.Q. in his letter read above the Govt regret that they are unable to comply with the Dts request.

Ans 22.3.29 22.3.29

To the D.T.B. Patna

Through the D.D.B.S. Kanara (940) with ref of item III

" S.Q. (940)

" S.Q. (940)

(3)

Dpd. by Van / Post / Messenger

Dpr's. initials

Date 23/3/ 1929.